

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/846,687	05/01/2001	Lorrence H. Green	2915 EXAMINER	
759	90 01/28/2004			
Thomas A. O'Rourke Bodner & O'Rourke			BROWN, TI	мотну м
425 Broadhollow RD Melville, NY 11747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>*</b>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/846,687	GREEN, LORRENCE H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tim Brown	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON  - If the period for re  - If NO period for re  - Failure to reply wi  - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. e may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period thin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailin in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to e, cause the application to become ABANDONET	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	May 2001				
·	<u></u>	nis action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since th	·					
Disposition of Cla	aims	Expante quayio, rece e.b. 11, 1	00 0.0. 210.			
4) Claim(s)	is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	•				
6) Claim(s)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	=,===,===		westerman and the state of the			
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftspe	ices Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claim 6, drawn to a polypeptide for producing antibodies against a region of the CCR5 receptor that is affected by the delta 32 deletion, classified in class 424, subclass 185.1.

II. Claims 1-5 and 7-10, drawn to a method for vaccination against a region of the CCR5 receptor that is affected by the delta 32 deletion, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the invention of group I comprises a polypeptide having a portion of the CCR5 receptor amino acid sequence. Accordingly, this polypeptide may be used in an affinity column to isolate antibodies against the CCR5 receptor protein. A portion of the CCR5 receptor amino acid sequence may also be used in an ELISA assay to detect whether an individual has produced antibody against the CCR5 receptor

protein. Because the polypeptide of group I can be used in an affinity column, as well as an ELISA assay, the polypeptide of group I has a materially different process of use than the method for vaccinating recited in group II. Accordingly, groups I and II are distinct.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be

Art Unit: 1648

maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Conclusion

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Brown whose telephone number is (703) 305-1912. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (703) 308-4027. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Tim Brown Examiner Art Unit 1648

tb January 23, 2004

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1000